

DETAILED ACTION

1. The information disclosure statement filed December 26, 2000 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information; for example: US6036524, US5938466, US5652695. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Drawings

2. Figures 2, 3 and 4B should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g).

Claim Objection

3. Claims 24-27 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 24 and 26, line 12, "into said tray" is repeated and should be deleted. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim ²⁴~~23~~, lines 3, "said module includes first and second guide holes astride first connector" is unclear. To the best-understood, --said module includes first and second guide pins astride first connector--.

Claim 26, lines 8, "said mating connector is mounted between first and second guide holes" is unclear. To the best-understood, --, "said mating connector is mounted between first and second guide pins--